		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	narv	09/558,476		SCHWARTZ, B	BRUCE V.
Examiner-initiated interview Guillina		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Peter J Smith	بہ	2176	
All Participants:		Status of Applicat	ion: <u>RCE</u>	Amendment	Entered
(1) Peter J Smith - USPTO.		(3)			
(2) <u>Arlen Hartounian - Attorney</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 1 November 2004		Time: <u>2pm ET</u>			
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 1-17,23-29 and 52-56  Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			-		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to find the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate i	e examiner will provide record of the substanc	e a writter e of the i	n summary of onterview, since	the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	tative Sigi	nature – if app	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an amendment of the preamble of claims 1, 10, 23, and 54 to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant suggested an alternate amendment to the preamble, which the Examiner accepted. Additionally, the Examiner noted a typographical error he discovered in claim 6 which Applicant agreed should also be fixed by the Examiner's amendment.